IN RE: ROGER MARSH JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER WILSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

Docket No. M2015_D0437-BJC-DIS-FC

File No. B13-5355

FORMAL CHARGE

2015 MAR 1 1 AM 10: 4

APPELLATE COURT CLERK MASHVILLE

Timothy Discenza, Disciplinary Counsel for the Tennessee Board of Judicial Conduct, at the direction of an investigative panel of three members of the Board of Judicial Conduct, in accordance with Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-301, *et. seq.*, hereby files formal charges against Roger Marsh, Judicial Commissioner, Wilson County, Tennessee.

Jurisdiction

1. Following a full investigation authorized under the provisions of Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-304(b)(3), the three member investigative panel originally composed of the Honorable Christy Little, Mr. Robert T. ("Tas") Gardner, and the Honorable Holly M. Kirby found, pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-304(d)(2)(A), that there is reasonable cause to believe that Roger Marsh has committed judicial offenses alleged herein in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-302. A "full investigation" in accordance with Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-304(c)(1) was authorized and directed. Thereafter, the Honorable Holly M. Kirby left the Board of Judicial Conduct due to her appointment as a Justice on the Tennessee Supreme Court. Mr. Miles Burdine was substituted for Justice Kirby on the investigative panel in this matter. The investigative panel then directed Disciplinary Counsel to file formal charges pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-304(e)(2)(A).

2. Roger Marsh, at all times relevant herein, was a Judicial Commissioner in Wilson County, Tennessee. Therefore, Mr. Marsh is subject to judicial discipline by the Board of Judicial Conduct pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-102.

Charges

Disciplinary Counsel charges Commissioner Roger Marsh as follows:

<u>Count I</u>

3. On or about March 2, 2013, the former son-in-law of the Board of Judicial Conduct complainant Frank Guzman in this action filed domestic assault charges against Mr. Guzman.

4. On or about March 3, 2013, Judicial Commissioner Roger Marsh in his official capacity, signed a mittimus issued a "County Mittimus-Jail" which recited, *inter alia*, that "Francisco Guzman, Having been examined before me on a charge of Domestic Assault," and with due cause and failure to give bond, was ordered to be arrested by the Sheriff and held essentially without bond, resulting in his incarceration for some 12 hours. A copy of the "mittimus" is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

5. In fact, and despite the representation of Commissioner Marsh on the face of the mittimus document, Mr. Guzman had not been examined by Commissioner and had not made any appearance before the Commissioner at all.

6. In response to an inquiry by the Board of Judicial Conduct, through its Assistant Disciplinary Counsel, to Commissioner Marsh regarding the incident that forms the basis of this formal charge, Commissioner Marsh responded "... I have no reason to believe that Mr. Francisco H. Guzman did not appear before me on the charge of Domestic Assault on 3/13/13."

7. Upon receiving the response of Commissioner Marsh, the Board of Judicial Conduct, through its Assistant Disciplinary Counsel, sought additional information and clarification from Commissioner Marsh by writing him on December 18, 2013, inquiring in pertinent part, as follows:

In your response you indicate that "I have no reason to believe that Francisco H. Guzman did not appear before me..." Are you saying that despite the mittimus form indicating that an individual "Having been examined before me..." is it possible that Mr. Guzman is correct and he did not appear before you on March 3?

8. Commissioner Marsh did not acknowledge, or respond to the inquiry of December 18, 2013, until July 15, 2014, almost seven (7) months, when his attorney did lodge a response. In the interim, the Board of Judicial Conduct, through its duly constituted Investigative Panel had authorized a "full investigation" pursuant to the provisions of Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-304(c)(1).

9. At all times described in the preceding paragraphs, the said Roger T. Marsh, as a Judicial Commissioner in Wilson County, Tennessee was subject to the Code of Judicial Conduct, as set out in Rule 10, Rules of the Supreme Court of Tennessee.

In pertinent part, the Code of Judicial Conduct, by and through its Canons, at all times relevant herein, provided as follows:

CANON 1 — A JUDGE SHALL UPHOLD AND PROMOTE THE INDEPENDENCE, INTEGRITY, AND IMPARTIALITY OF THE JUDICIARY, AND SHALL AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY.

RULE 1.1 Compliance with the Law

A judge shall comply with the law, including the Code of Judicial Conduct.

RULE 1.2 Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary

A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.

CANON 2 — A JUDGE SHALL PERFORM THE DUTIES OF JUDICIAL OFFICE IMPARTIALLY, COMPETENTLY, AND DILIGENTLY.

Rule 2.5 Competence, Diligence, and Cooperation

(A) A judge shall perform judicial and administrative duties competently, promptly and diligently.

Rule 2.6 Ensuring the Right to Be Heard

(A) A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding, or that person's lawyer, the right to be heard according to law.

Rule 2.16 Cooperation with Disciplinary Authorities

(A) A judge shall cooperate and be candid and honest with judicial and lawyer disciplinary agencies.

10. The above-described conduct and actions of Commissioner Marsh in signing a formal document reciting inaccurate and false information, i.e., that an individual had appeared before that Commissioner, and then, based on the false and inaccurate document (Exhibit "A"), committing the individual to jail, as heretofore set forth, constitutes a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct as set forth in the preceding paragraph 10, and as such, subject him to the sanctions provided by the provisions of Tennessee Code Annotated § 17-5-301.

In addition, the failure by Commissioner Marsh to respond to an inquiry by the Board of Judicial Conduct as described herein in paragraphs eight (8) and nine (9), above, constitute a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct as expressed in Rule 2.16, above and further subject him to sanctions.

NOTICE

Commissioner Roger Marsh is hereby given written notice of the details of the Formal Charges brought against him pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 17-5-307.

Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 17-5-307(c), Commissioner Roger Marsh shall have thirty (30) days from and after the date of receipt of these Formal Charges to file an Answer with the Court by filing the same at the Office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court, 100 Supreme Court Building, 401 Seventh Avenue North, Nashville, Tennessee 37219 and by serving a copy on Disciplinary Counsel at P.O. Box 50356, Nashville, Tennessee 37205.

Failure to answer these Formal Charges shall constitute an admission of the factual allegations not answered.

WHEREFORE, Disciplinary Counsel moves the Board to set this matter for hearing before a Hearing Panel of the Board of Judicial Conduct at such location where the Board of Judicial Conduct may convene by law, within sixty (60) days from and after the date the Answer is filed by Commissioner as required by Tenn. Code Annotated § 17-5-308(a), or, in the event no Answer is filed, to set the matter within ninety (90) days of the date these Formal Charges are filed with the Clerk of the Court, in order to comply with the statutory time limit, and upon the hearing of this action, to impose just and proper sanctions as provided by law, including the costs and discretionary costs as provided by law. This the 25 day of February, 2015.

Timothy R. Discenza #008716 Disciplinary Counsel Tennessee Board of Judicial Conduct P.O. Box 50356 Nashville, Tennessee 37205

< Taxua

Patrick J. McHale, # 004643 Assistant Disciplinary Counsel Tennessee Board of Judicial Conduct

IN RE: ROGER MARSH JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER WILSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

APPROVED BY THE INVESTIGATIVE PANEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 6, SECTION 4 OF THE RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE OF THE TENNESSEE BOARD OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT:

BY: Judge Christy Little

Judge Christy Little Investigative Panel Member

IN RE: ROGER MARSH JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER WILSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

APPROVED BY THE INVESTIGATIVE PANEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 6, SECTION 4 OF THE RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE OF THE TENNESSEE BOARD OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT:

BY:

Robert T. ("Yas") Gardner Investigative Panel Member

IN RE: ROGER MARSH JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER WILSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

APPROVED BY THE INVESTIGATIVE PANEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 6, SECTION 4 OF THE RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE OF THE TENNESSEE BOARD OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT:

K1-BY: _/

Miles Burdine Investigative Panel Member

 İ))	Ex. A
]	COUNTY			
Μ	ITTIMUS-JAIL	4	53	£438
			Jail]	Register No
Fine	S			\$
As of	day of	BO		SS S DTAL S
	Bk		Р.	
	TATE OF TENNESSE			SON
	RIFF OR JAILOR OF SAID			
Having been of	remined hefers are a	COUNTY:	Usisco (Jume
	amined before me on a char	ge of <u>Loncie</u>	Dicorr	<i>i</i>
as required, yo	er guilty thereof, and having fa ou are therefore commande ntil he/she is legally discharged	ed to receive him 1.	/her into y	our custody, a
	This?	day of Moes		
	Cause continued for trial	until <u>9 (4-)</u>	0_13	
	Committed <u>3,740</u>	AM Mores	_,?	
	Released	A.M. P.M.		
Disposition of Priso		CE	LINDA NEAL,	CLERK, DO HEREB EGOING TO BE A TRU
General Sessions		AN IN:	ID CORRECT C	OPY OF THE ORIGINA FILE IN THIS CASE.
Bound Over				2 3 2013
On Bond				real years
^ 1			GENERAL	IDA NEAL SESSIONS CLERK
Other			WILSON	N COUNTY, TN
Difficer /	14	Por	res T.	Mar 1/