## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE

ABU-ALI ABDUR'RAHM	AN )			
(formerly known as James L	Lee Jones)			
	)			
	)	DAVIDSON	COUNTY	CRIMINAL
	)			
VS.	)	NO. M1988-00026-SC-DPE-PD		
	)			
	)			
STATE OF TENNESSEE	)			
	Filed by clerk's office Ja	anuary 2, 2002		

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SET EXECUTION DATE AND REPLY TO STATE'S RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF PUTATIVE  $\underline{FORD}$  CLAIM AND MOTION TO MODIFY  $\underline{VAN}$  PROCEEDING

The state disingenuously claims that Abu-Ali's rights to present a *Ford* claim are protected under *Van Tran*. This is not true. This Court's order in Coe v. State, No. M1999-013130SC-DPE-PD (Attached as Exhibit 1) establishes that Abu-Ali Abdur'Rahman will have no such process available.

To invoke further process under *Van Tran*, counsel must provide an "affidavit from a mental health professional showing that there has been a substantial change in the prisoner's mental health." In <u>Coe</u>, both the prison Warden and this Court denied Coe any opportunity to have a mental health professional examine Coe at or around the time of execution. <u>See</u> Exhibit 2 (Affidavit of Robert L. Hutton, filed in Tennessee Supreme Court Apr. 3, 2000). As Justice Birch clearly noted in dissent, such a denial of access precludes the assertion of a *Ford* claim. <u>See</u> Exhibit 3 (Justice Birch's dissent in Coe).

The exact same thing will happen here. The prison will deny Mr. Abdur'Rahman access to mental health professionals and preclude him from developing evidence necessary to invoke

subsequent process under Van Tran. Abu-Ali Abdur'Rahman will have no full and fair ability to

present a Ford claim. This Court's precedent in Coe thus precludes Abu-Ali Abdur'Rahman

from presenting a *Ford* claim once he is incompetent to be executed.

Because <u>Coe</u> will prevent any litigation of a *Ford* claim in the future, Petitioner's "Notice

Of Putative Ford Claim" raises the Ford claim that, because Abu-Ali Abdur'Rahman dissociates

under stress, at or around the time of any yet-to-be-scheduled execution, he will be incompetent

to be executed under Ford v. Wainwright, 477 U.S. 399 (1986). This is sufficient to trigger his

right to further proceedings consistent with Ford and Van Tran. Because Mr. Abdur'Rahman has

no other means of presenting his claim of incompetency to be executed, he is being forced to do

so now.

Respectfully submitted,

William P. Redick, Jr.

P.O. Box 187

Whites Creek, Tennessee 37189

Bd. Prof. Resp. No. 6376

\_\_\_\_\_

Bradley A. MacLean

Stites & Harbison

Suntrust Ctr., 424 Church St., Ste. 1900

Nashville, Tennessee 37219-2327

Bd. Prof. Resp. No. 9562

2

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, hereby, certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent by United States Mail to Mr. Gordor
W. Smith, Office of Attorney General, 425 5th Ave. N., Nashville, Tennessee 37243 on this the 21st
day of December, 2001.

William P. Redick, Jr.