### CIRCUIT COURT OF HARDIN COUNTY AT SAVANNAH, TENNESSEE

ZACHARY RYE ADAMS, Petitioner.

VS

Case No. 17-CR-10-PC Hon. Bradberry

STATE OF TENNESSEE, Respondent

In Re: Subpoenaed Counsel Jerry Gonzalez and Jennifer Thompson

FILED	11 DAY OF Mark, 2025 AT 1005 AMPR			
TAMMIF WOLFE, CLERK				
DV -	TAMMIE WOLFE, CLERK TONUMINT JUMMY CLER			
рт	1 DVKKK J			

### MOTION TO QUASH SUPBOENA and OBJECTION TO SUBPOENA

Former Counsel for Petitioner, Jerry Gonzalez and Jennifer Thompson, jointly move to quash subpoenas issued on them for their entire records and computer systems as overbroad and outside the limitations of the Tennessee Rules of Criminal Procedure and this Court's order.

Former trial counsel, Jerry Gonzalez, the undersigned, and lead trial counsel, Jennifer Thompson, have been subpoenaed for "Production of Documentary Evidence (Books, Papers, Documents, etc.)" on behalf of Respondent State of Tennessee by Amy P. Weirich, Special Counsel, 25<sup>th</sup> Judicial District. The subpoena includes reference to a "list" of "items to produce" that must be produced "at Bates and Bates Law Office" in Centerville, Tennessee, before "March 7, 2024 at 1:30 p.m." The subpoena also states that "failure to serve an objection to this subpoena within twenty-one days after the day of service of the subpoena waives all objections…"<sup>2</sup>

The subpoena typeface is so small as to be barely legible. The signature of the "issuing party's attorney" is completely illegible.

Complying with this deadline is impossible. March 7, 2024, has already passed.

The attached "list" of "Items to Produce" includes the "complete case file", "[a]ll statements and memos relating to witnesses or potential witnesses or persons", "[a]ll documents, tape recordings, and other writings memorializing or in any way recording or reflecting words ... with or by Zachary Rye Adams", "[a]ny and all letters, notes, emails, ... or writing of any kind...", and "[a]ll computers, laptops, hard drives, backups, and computer information storage devices that you have in your possession related to any legal work performed by you in" the case. This list is grossly over broad.

First, an Order of this Court, dated February 21, 2025 and filed in the record on March 3, 2025" ruled that the State's Motion to Deem Attorney-Client Privilege Waived for Post Conviction Relief Issues is "GRANTED IN PART as to the issue raised by Petitioner Adams in his post-conviction petition ...." The list of items to produce is far more broad than this Court's order and is not limited to the boundaries set by the Court. This Court's order limits disclosure to only those records dealing with attorney-client privilege. It says nothing about work product of attorneys, which enjoys a separate and distinct protection from production. See, *Boyd v Comdata Network, Inc.*, 88 S.W. 3d 203, 219 (Tenn. App. 2002) (holding the work product doctrine "now applies to both civil and criminal litigation.")

Rule 17(d)(2) provides that a subpoenaed person may move the court to "quash or modify the subpoena if compliance would be unreasonable or oppressive." The subpoena demands these counsel to produce at the office of Bates and Bates their entire computer system. That is, "all computers", including backups, and all "storage devices" in their possession related to any legal work performed in the Adams case. This would encompass the entire computer system ("all computers") without limitation, including computers and hard drives that contain hundreds and hundreds of files related to other cases in other courts. Such would effectively shut down these

counsel's entire practice for the duration of their computers' absence, require them to spend thousands of dollars to purchase a duplicate computer system, spends many hours attempting to scrub the produced computers of other case files or expose to the State of Tennessee and to Bates and Bates the protected work product and privileged files of hundreds of other clients. This is simply unprecedented and an outrageous demand and totally unreasonable. These counsel simply cannot comply with such a demand and would be required to appeal to as high authority as possible to limit such a universal disclosure of protected information. Additionally, the undersigned backs up his files to a cloud service. How is he supposed to produce those hard drives, likely contained in massive server facilities in the East Coast of the United States and/or Canada?

Rule 17(d) also provides that the "court may condition denial of the motion on the advancement by the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued of the reasonable cost of producing the books, papers, documents, or other objects." A very conservative estimate of such cost would be in the range of \$10,000 to \$15,000 for each subpoenaed counsel herein to cover a new computer system, monitors, time to scrub the computers of other client files and cost to hire technical support to set up the new computer with duplicate software.

For these reasons, the subpoena issued by the State should be quashed. If this motion is denied, then these counsel move for a temporary stay or extension of time to comply so that they may appeal to higher authority.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry Gonzalez / Jerry Gonzalez (18379) 8000 Hwy 99, #456

Rockvale TN 37153

615-360-6060

jgonzalez@jglaw.net

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing was emailed to the following with delivery and read receipt requested:

Douglas Thompson Bates, IV
Bates & Bates Law Office
406 W. Public Sq., 2nd Floor, Bates Building
P.O. Box 1
Centerville, TN 37033
dtbates4@bates.law

Amy P. Weirich, BPR (#014441) Special Counsel 25th Judicial District P.O. Box 38 Somerville, Tennessee 38068 apweirich@tndagc.org

This 5th day of March, 2025.

/s/ Jerry Gonzalez

Circuit Court Hardin County, Savannah Tenn	essec	SUBPOR	ENA		Case Number 17-CR-10-PC
			State of Tenn	essee	
Zacnary [Plai	Rye Adams intiff's Name]	VS	[Defendant's Nar		
To:		Jony Gonzales		,   	
		6000 State Hwy 99, Rockvale	, TN 37153		
	Pho	re: (615) 380-6060   Email, jgo	nzalez@lglaw.nel	1	
Under penalty prescribed by la	aw, you are commande	:d:	O:	il Mila Ca	unt u o ec Maila Green
□ 1. Subpoena for Attendar	ice at Hearing or Tric	al - to appear person	ally before the	ill Co	BUIL of Hardin County, 465 Main Stree
n Causanah Tennessee on	at	a.m./g	om., and give testin	iony, pu	Ignatur to trate dates and
45.05 of the Tennessee Rules	of Civil Procedure; who	en you arrive, you mu	st remain at the court	until the	judge or a court officer allows
you to leave;				li	and namely inspection
A. 2. Subpoent for Production copying, testing, or sampling	n of Documentury Ev	idence (Books, Paper	s, Daeuments, etc.) -	- to proce	d information, or tangible
copying, testing, or sampling	of the following gesign	nated books, papers, o	Ocuments, escentiano	411 41010	, o litter in a little in a li
(nings: Picase see macheu iis	L GOD ODNA OCCU	9 11.5 51.	and to swear	or affirm	that the things produced are
authentic to the best of your kr to Rule 45.02 of the Tennesse 06 W. Public Sq., 2nd Floor, l					that the things produced are s have been produced, pursuant ites & Bates Law Office
"	11,729,4085			1	
3. Subpoena for Inspection	<u>r of Premises</u> — to pern	nit inspection of the fo	mowing premises:	45.02 of	the Tennessee Rules of Civil
Procedure: and/or				1,	
☐ 4. Subpoena for Deposition	Testimony - to appea	ar personally at	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ii in	ify by deposition in this matter,
Tennessee, on		, at a.	m./p.m., men and me	i i co lesti	ny by deposition in this manner.
and to bring die tonowing nea			pursuant to	Rule 45.	04 of the Tennessee Rules of
Civil Procedure.	•				
Notice for a subnuena for pr					
above): The failure to serve all objections to the subpoen	in objection to this sur a, excent the right to	seek the reasonable	cost for producing b	ooks, pa	pers, documents,
alastromianly stored informs	ation or tangible thin	195.		1	
This subpoena is issued on be	nalf	spondent . The name	e, address, and telep	i i hone nun 38068 l 96	nber of the issuing party's 01-465-7351 apweirich@tndagc.org
Christopher V Rolano ADA 18th	Indicial District, US West	Main Street, Cornell Dull	Bunding, are ridor, Gan	atin TN, 32	7066   615-451-5810   cvboiano@indage.
Signature of issuing party's at		- FIR 11 11 -	7 51	Cal	
Date Issued: <u>2.14-25</u>		ŝ	ignature of Clerk/De	Duty Cler	k
		Fo		11	S Act (ADA) assistance only,
				* i	
NOTICE: YOU CAN BE	FOUND IN CONTE	MPT OF COURT FO	OR FAILING TO C	OMPLY	WITH THIS SUBPOENA
	ND GUILTY OF CO			1.	rovision of law imposing

(This is a general form subpoena. The party issuing the subpoena is responsible for complying with any provision of law imposing additional notice requirements that apply to the pending case or to the type of records being sought under this subpoena.)

FILED 14	DAY OF Feb-	, 2025	_Ăĩ_	4	AM PHI
RY :)	TAMMIE WO	LFE, CLERI	K 		CLERK

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARDIN COUNTY AT SAVANNAH, TENNESSEE

ZACHARY RYE ADAMS,	)	
PETITIONER,	)	<i>!'</i>
,	)	i ·
<b>v</b> s.	)	No. 17-CR-10-PC
70.	)	i
STATE OF TENNESSEE,	)	
RESPONDENT.	)	ı
		¦

# SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM ITEMS TO PRODUCE

TO: Jerry Gonzales
Attorney at Law
8000 State Hwy 99
Rockvale, TN 37153
jgonzaleztójglaw.net

- The complete case file relating to State of Tennessee vs. Zachary Rye Adams, Hardin County Circuit Court Case No. 17-CR-10.
- All statements and memos relating to any witnesses or potential witnesses or persons connected with State of Tennessee vs. Zachary Rye Adams, Hardin County Circuit Court Case No. 17-CR-10.
- All documents, tape recordings, and other writings memorializing or in any way recording or reflecting any words, statements, declarations, conversations, or other communications with or by Zachary Rye Adams.
- 4. Any and all letters, notes, emails, memoranda, or writing of any kind related to State of Tennessee vs. Zachary Rye Adams, Hardin County Circuit Court Case No. 17-CR-10.
- All computers, laptops, hard drives, backups, and computer information storage devices that you have in your possession related to any legal work performed by you in State of Tennessee vs. Zachary Rye Adams (Hardin County Circuit Court Case No. 17-CR-10).

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARDIN COUNTY AT SAVANNAH, TENNESSEE

	ORDER	
STATE OF TENNESSEE, RESPONDENT.	)	FILED J DAY OF Mary 2015 AT 10 APPM  TAMMIE WOLFE, CLERK  BY TIME WOLFE CLERK  CLERK
vs.	) )	No. 17-CR-10-PC
ZACHARY RYE ADAMS, PETITIONER,	)	

This matter came to be heard on November 26, 2024, before the Honorable J. Brent Bradberry, Judge for the Circuit Court for Hardin County, Tennessee upon the State of Tennessee's Motion to Deem Attorney-Client Privilege Waived for Post Conviction Relief Issues. Counsel for both parties were present in Court. Based upon the statements and arguments of counsel, along with the entire record, the Court finds as follows:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the State of Tennessee's Motion to Deem Attorney-Client Privilege Waived for Post Conviction Relief Issues as to JERRY GONZALEZ is GRANTED IN PART as to the issues raised by Petitioner Adams in his post-conviction petition, alleging that his trial attorneys' representation was ineffective.

The Clerk shall file this order and provide a filed copy to counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 21st DAY OF FEBRUARY 2025.

WDGE J. BRENT BRADBERRY

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certif	y that a t	rue and exact copy of the forego	oing has been	emailed and mailed to
the following on this	3	day of <del>February 2024.</del> Mulh mas	· i	

Douglas Thompson Bates, IV
Bates & Bates Law Office
406 W. Public Sq., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Bates Building
P.O. Box 1
Centerville, TN 37033

Amy P. Weirich, BPR (#014441) Special Counsel 25<sup>th</sup> Judicial District P.O. Box 38 Somerville, Tennessee 38068

Christopher V. Boiano, BPR (#030076) Assistant Attorney General 18<sup>th</sup> Judicial District 113 West Main Street Cordell Hull Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor. Gallatin, Tennessee 37066

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARDIN COUNTY AT SAVANNAH, TENNESSEE

ZACHARY RYE ADAMS,	)	į.
PETITIONER,	)	
VS.	)	No. 17-CR-10-PC
	)	FILED 3 DAY OF MUMA, ADJ AT 10 MPM
STATE OF TENNESSEE, RESPONDENT.	)	TAMMIE WOLFE, CLERK
	, 	BY TWW WYLY DUSKY CLERK
,		

#### **ORDER**

This matter came to be heard on November 26, 2024, before the Honorable J. Brent Bradberry, Judge for the Circuit Court for Hardin County, Tennessee upon the State of Tennessee's *Motion to Deem Attorney-Client Privilege Waived for Post Conviction Relief Issues*. Counsel for both parties were present in Court. Based upon the statements and arguments of counsel, along with the entire record, the Court finds as follows:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the State of Tennessee's Motion to Deem Attorney-Client Privilege Waived for Post Conviction Relief Issues as to JENNIFER THOMPSON is GRANTED IN PART as to the issues raised by Petitioner Adams in his post-conviction petition, alleging that his trial attorneys' representation was ineffective.

The Clerk shall file this order and provide a filed copy to counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 21st DAY OF FEBRUARY 2025.

JUDGE J. BRENT BRADBERRY

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing has been emailed and mailed to the following on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of February 2024.'

MUVILLA 25X5

Douglas Thompson Bates, IV
Bates & Bates Law Office
406 W. Public Sq., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Bates Building
P.O. Box 1
Centerville, TN 37033

Amy P. Weirich, BPR (#014441) Special Counsel 25<sup>th</sup> Judicial District P.O. Box 38 Somerville, Tennessee 38068

Christopher V. Boiano, BPR (#030076) Assistant Attorney General 18<sup>th</sup> Judicial District 113 West Main Street Cordell Hull Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor. Gallatin, Tennessee 37066