
IN THE TENNESSEE COURT OF THE JUDICIARY

**IN RE: THE HONORABLE GLORIA DUMAS,
JUDGE, GENERAL SESSIONS COURT
METROPOLITAN NASHVILLE and
DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE, Division IV**

FILED
MAR 17 2010
Clerk of the Courts

Docket No. M2009-01938-CJ-CJ-CJ

**Complainant: JOSEPH S. DANIEL, in the exercise of his duties as
Disciplinary Counsel, and at the direction of an Investigative Panel of the
Tennessee Court of the Judiciary.**

File No. 08-3487

ORDER

This cause came on to be heard on the 24th day of February, 2010, before the Honorable Don R. Ash, Presiding Judge, Court of the Judiciary, upon the Motion to Strike filed by The Honorable Gloria Dumas, Motion to Compel and to Quash Subpoenas also filed by Judge Dumas, Motion to Compel filed by Joseph S. Daniel, Disciplinary Counsel, Motion to Deem Certain Facts Admitted, also filed by Joseph S. Daniel, Disciplinary Counsel, Responses, statements of counsel for the parties, questions and comments from the Court, and upon the entire record in this action following which the Court ruled as follows, and it is so ORDERED:

1. The Requests for Admission filed with the original Complaint herein, shall be answered by March 3, 2010, at 4:00 p.m.
2. As to the Requests for Production of Documents:
Request No. 1 shall be produced.

Request No. 2 shall be produced to the extent of seminars attended from 2007 through 2009.

Request No. 3 shall be produced by Judge Dumas to the extent she has such records. Any records concerning her court dates and attendance obtained by Disciplinary Counsel shall be given by copy to counsel for Judge Dumas and future subpoenas for such documents should also be accompanied by notice to adversary counsel.

Request No. 4 shall be produced to the extent Judge Dumas has records of sick days and if she does not have same she must so indicate.

Request No. 5 shall be produced as the Court understands the Request means manuals of local rules that the general sessions judge have adopted.

Request No. 6 should be produced to the extent she has any such documentation about times for arrival at work and for leaving work.

Requests No. 7 and 8 shall be limited to January 1, 2008-2009.

Request No. 9 should be answered if Judge Dumas has responsive documents.

Request No. 10 shall be stricken.

3. With respect to the Interrogatories filed with the original complaint:

Interrogatory No. 1 shall be answered.

Interrogatory No. 2 shall be answered.

Interrogatory No. 3 shall be answered, however, only to the extent such addresses were maintained as Judge.

Interrogatory No. 4 shall be answered by identification of seminars, CLE forms and classes attended.

Interrogatory No. 5 shall be answered, if she has the information in her possession.

Interrogatory No. 6 shall be answered but the language concerning “person, firm, or entity” is stricken, however if Judge Dumas knows the entity involved she shall so indicate.

Interrogatory No. 7 shall be answered.

Interrogatory No. 8 shall be answered, if she has the information in her possession, or a calendar or the like.

Interrogatory No. 9 shall be answered, if she knows the person.

Interrogatory No. 10 shall be answered, if she knows the person.

Interrogatories No. 11, 12, and 13 shall be answered, if she has the information in her possession.

Interrogatory No. 14 shall be answered, however, may be answered under seal.

Interrogatory No. 15 shall be answered, however as of January 1, 2008

Interrogatory No. 16 shall be answered, however as of January 1, 2008

Interrogatory No. 17 shall be answered, if she knows.

Interrogatories No. 18, 19, 20, and 21 shall be answered.

Interrogatories No. 22 and 23 shall be answered, if she has the information in her possession.

Interrogatory No. 24 shall be answered, and if she knows the entity that maintains the documents that entity shall be listed.

Interrogatories No. 25 and 26 shall be answered, however as of January 1, 2008


Interrogatory No. 27 shall be answered, if Judge Dumas has that information, however as of January 1, 2008.

Interrogatory No. 28 shall be answered.


Interrogatory No. 29 is stricken based upon representations by Disciplinary Counsel.


4. The Requests for Production and the Interrogatories filed with the original Complaint herein, shall be answered by March 10, 2010, at 4:00 p.m.
5. Depositions will be arranged by agreement and if there is no agreement then the Court shall become involved as necessary.


It is, therefore, so ORDERED. All other matters are reserved. ENTER this the 16 day of March, 2010.


Don R. Ash, Judge
Presiding Judge, Court of the Judiciary

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:


William H. Farmer
Jonathan P. Farmer
Jones Hawkins & Farmer PLC
One Nashville Place
150 4th Avenue North, Suite 1820
Nashville, TN 37219
615-726-0050


Joseph S. Daniel
Disciplinary Counsel
503 North Maple Street
Murfreesboro, TN 37130
615-898-8004


Ben H. Cantrell, BPR #3160
Tune, Entrekin & White, P.C.


Patrick J. McHale
Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

Suite 1700, Regions Center
315 Deaderick Street
Nashville, TN 37238-1700
(615) 244-2770

503 North Maple Street
Murfreesboro, TN 37130
615-898-8004