## IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE AT JACKSON

STATE OF TENNESSEE		
Respondent,		
۷.	FILED Clark of the Courts	No. W2001-01920-CCA-R10-PD
PHILIP R. WORKMAN	UCT 2 5 2001	
Defendant/Applicant.	анган (9-,27-0) Канган (9-,27-0) Канграз Каргуу (9-, 10-10-10-	

## MOTION FOR STAY OF TRIAL COURT PROCEEDINGS PENDING RESOLUTION OF RULE 10 APPLICATIONS CURRENTLY PENDING BEFORE THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

COMES NOW your applicant, Philip R. Workman, through his undersigned counsel of record, and moves this Court to stay proceedings in the trial court pending resolution of two interlocutory appeals filed pursuant to T.R.A.F. 10 currently pending before this court. In support of this motion, your applicant would show unto the court as follows:

 On August 10, 2001, this Honorable Court issued its opinion upon a Rule 10 Application filed by Workman. In that opinion, the CCA held that Rules 3, 8, and 12 of the Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure apply in coram nobis proceedings.
Additionally, this Court held that discovery in coram nobis proceedings would be governed by the Rules of Civinal Procedure.

2. Notwithstanding, this court's August 10 opinion, the trial court has refused to require the state to file an answer which complies with Rules 3,8 and 12 of the Rules of

Civil Procedure. Additionally, the trial court ordered petitioner to provide the state information concerning expert witnesses outside the scope of Rule 16 of the Rules of Criminal Procedura.

3 Consequently on September 21, 2001, Workman filed two additional Rule 10 Applications, to force the trial court to comply with this court's order dated August 10, 2001. Additionally, Workman filed a Rule 11 Application aceking the Supreme Court to review this court's August 10, 2001 Order.

 Synder dated September 25, 2001, this court stayed resolution of patitioner's Rule 10 Applications pending resolution of the Rule 11 Application to the Supreme Court of Tennessee.

5. The trial court currently has proceedings scheduled for November 5, 2001. If the proceedings in the trial court are not stayed, petitioner will be required to provide the state discovery not allowed under Rule 16 of the Tennessee Rules of Criminal Procedure. Additionally, petitioner will neve been required to go forward without the benefit of an answer filed by the state, specifically admitting or denying which facts are contested.

 The proceedings should be stayed in the trial court pending this court's resolution of the Rule 10 Applications pending hefore it.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Workman prays that the processings be stayed.

Respectfully Submitted,

GLANKLER BROWN, PLLC 1760 One Commerce Square Memphis, TN 38103 (901) 525-1322

By: <u>Robert L. Hutton #15498</u>

John W. Pieroti #7851