IN THE CRIMI	NAL COURT FO	R SHELBY COU	NTY, TENNESSEE

PHILIP R. WORKMAN,	)
Petitioner,	
v	) No
STATE OF TENNESSEE,	)
Respondent.	)

AFFIDAVIT OF PHILP R. WORKMAN

## STATE OF TENNESSEE

## COUNTY OF DAVIDSON

Comes now your Affiant and declares under oath as follows:

1. My name is Philip R. Workman.

2. 1 am currently incarcerated at Riverbend Maximum Security Institution, 7475 Cockrill

Bend Industrial Road, Nashville, Tennessee 37209. My Tennessee Department of Corrections

## number is 9592D.

3. I was originally convicted of felony murder in the criminal court of Shelby County,

Tennessee on March 31, 1982 in a case styled State of Tennessee v. Philip R. Workman, Shelby

County Docket No. B81209.

4. Upon a plea of not guilty, I was tried by a jury, convicted of first degree felony marder

and sentenced to death.

5. I filed two petitions for state post conviction relief. The first and second post

conviction proceedings were filed in Division 3 of the Criminal Court of Shelby County,

Memphis, Tennessee, Docket No. P 3908.

6. In the first post conviction proceeding, I raised the following grounds:

- ineffective assistance of counsel;
- b. the aggrevating circumstances found at the sentencing hearing were void for vagueness and overbreadth;
- c. potential jury members were improperly excluded from Petitioner's jury;
- d. the Tennessee Death Penalty Statute fails to provide an adequate basis from
- which a comparative review of Petitioners death sentence can be made;
- e. the prosecutor abused his discretion in socking a death semience;
- Petitioner's death sentence is disproportionate to sentences given out for like crimes;
- g. protrial publicity prejudice Petitioner from receiving a fair trial;

 the identification procedures utilized in Petitioners case were unduly suggestive;

i. the state denied Petitioners counsel prior to a lineup;

j. police vinlated the Petitioner's 5th Amendment Rights when they took a

statement from him;

- Petitioners death sequence was imposed absent of finding the Petitioner intended to kill the victim;
- Petitioners jury considered matters outside the record during sentencing deliberations;
- m. the prosecution committed misconduct during closing arguments;
- n. the Tennessee death penulty statute discriminates against persons guilty of killing a white victim; and
- o. jury instructions given in Petitioners case were unconstitutional.
- 7. In the second post conviction proceeding, I raised the following grounds:
  - a. the state withheir exculpatory evidence;
  - b. the state interfered with defense counsels investigation;
  - counsel rendered ineffective assistance;
  - d, the state committed prosecutorial misconducty
  - e. the trial courts jury instructions were unconstitutional; and
  - f. Tennessee's death penalty statute is unconstitutional.
  - Dr. Cyril H. Wecht, the elected curoner and the medical examiner for Allegheny

County, Permsylvania and the surrounding five counties of the Pittshurgh area, had been asked to

review the autopsy findings involving the death of Lt. Ronald Oliver. Dr. Wecht opined as

## follows:

R

After completion of my evaluation and analysis of all the materials, it is my professional opinion, based upon a reasonable degree of medical certainty, that the gonshot wound of police officer Ronald D. Diver is not consistent with the type of ammunition used by Mr. Philip R. Workman, namely, a low velocity .45 colliber, aluminum jackteed, hollow-point bollet. I do believe that officer Oliver died from a through-and-through gunshot wound, with the notive wound smaller than the entrance wound, and only a single pathway present in the body. Therefore, based on the path that the bulket taok, the fact that the bulket existed the body, and the fact Mr. Workman was using a .45 caliber pistol loaded with aluminum jackted, hollow-point bullets, I do not believe that it was Mr. Workman's gun that fired the shot that fatally wounded officer Oliver.

Yet, without a post mortem x-ray of Ronald Oliver, there was no way to demonstrate

conclusively that the bullet did not fragment in Oliver's body, which could conceivably explain

why a .45 would leave a smaller exit wound (han entrance wound. Because the Shelby County

Medical Examiner had failed to produce any x-mys pursuant to a subportia issued in 1995, and

based upon their failure to inform as of the existence of any x-rays, we were unable to disprove

the state's assertion of the possibility that the bullet fragmented.

9. On March 2, 2000, we were for the first time provided with a copy of an x-ray

demonstrating that the buildt that killed Mr. Oliver did not fragment, it emerged from his body in

tact. Dr. Kris Sperry, the Georgia Medical Examiner, has now opined, that because the x-ray

establishes this tact, he believes to a reasonable degree of medical certainty that I did not shoot

2

Lt. Oliver. None of this evidence was known by me or my attorneys at the time of the litigation of my state petitions for post conviction relief. In fact, the existence of the post mortem x-ray was only discovered within this last year.

10. I only learned the x-ray existed on February 28, 2000, when the State inadvertently mentioned its existence in a report filed with the Tennessee Board of Probation and Parole

Only after the State subsequently produced the x-ray could Dr. Sperry review it and
offer his medical opinion about what it establishes.

12. This new evidence establishes I am innecent of first degree murder because to be guilty of that erime, the bullet that killed I.t. Oliver would have had to have come from my gun.

15. Additionally, in September, 1999, Mr. Harold Davis, the only eye witness who testified at my trial that I shot i.t. Oliver, recented his testimony, and edmitted he was not there. This recentation was supported by Ms. Vivian Porter, a citizen who has provided sworn testimony that Harold Davis was with her on the night of the Wendy's robbery.

At the time of my state post conviction proceedings, I had no knowledge of the existence of the x-ray, or the fact that Harold Davis committed perjusy at my original trial.

Purther Affiant saith not:

Sworn to and subscribed before no this the <u>29</u> day of January, 2001.

My Commission Expires: My Commission Expires MAI 35, 2004

Jun Jedul