her as to all these matters.

1

2

3

4

5

G

7

Ä

9

30

71

12

13

14

15

17

18

23

What we're objecting to is the ordinary, customary visit by the jail doctor to determine if she needs to go to-... Central State. We feel like in a case such as this equal protection, due process, fundamental fairness require that she be accorded in a case like this that has some very unusual elements regarding mental state of mind and other things pertinent to that that she be afforded a standard of psychiatric care above just ordinarily what's done in the jail.

We don't believe that a thirty minute visit by a jail doctor can determine if she needs to be examined psychiatrically for matters that are way beyond the purview of competence to stand trial and insanity at the time of the commission of the alleged offense.

We're talking in terms of state of mind. We're 16 talking in terms of criminal intent. We're talking in terms of a highly specialized and very unusual defense; that being the battered-wife syndrome. And we feel like that any 19 psychiatrist that renders aid to the court, which is the duty 20 of an expert witness to render aid and assistance to the court and to the jury, must be familiar with the 22 characteristics of that syndrome. 23

There are available in Memphis we understand, and 74 are trying to determine more fully that right now, 25

psychiatrists that specialize in that. There is a Women's Resource Center I understand that has resources available perhaps without any great costs to the state that would have experts that could examine her in regard to this particular aspect of the case.

1

2

3

4

5

F

7

9

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 -

17 .

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm not just asking for a boilerplate motion of have the jail psychiatrist look at her and determine she deeds to go to Central State. We're talking not only about competence at the present time, insanity at the time of the commission of the offense under the Model ALI code.

We're talking also about matters that go to criminal intent, the formation of criminal intent, matters that go to state of mind, culpability, and matters that go to mitigation in view of a conviction of first degree murder.

We feel like that honestly because-- and I'm not trying to sing the sad song--but Mr. Porterfield got the auspices of the public defender's office by getting the first appointment. They take the position and have for years that once they're appointed on one defendant that they're not appointed on the other.

Now, that means --

THE COURT: What kind of argument are you making now?

MR. EMMONS: Making the argument we're cut short

on some things that we don't have as two private lawyers. THE COURT: I don't see how.

1

ż

- 3

4

S

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

22

23

24

25

MR. EMMONS: Well, we don't have investigators. We don't have staffs. We don't have full-time employees. And we feel like to subject our client to a defense that is this technical and it is centered and rooted and grounded so strongly--

THE COURT: What have you asked for that you've been denied that the public defender has access to?

MR. EMMONS: I'm just saying their resource is greater, Your Honor. Nothing.

THE COURT: What have you asked for that you've been denied?

MR. EMMONS: Nothing yet, but if I ask for a monthly salary like they get, I think the court would probably deny me that. I mean they are on monthly payrolls. They are--Mr. Jones is a full-time employee of the public defender--

THE COURT: I am in favor of doing away with the public defender's office and appointing lawyers case by case and maintaining the staff and research and the investigating office, so.....

MR. EMMONS: I'm not complaining about what the court's done, not at all.

THE COURT: Well, I think you are. You're

complaining now that you don't get a monthly salary. 1 MR. EMMONS: I don't believe the court has control 2 over that. I was just -- -З THE COURT: Have you asked for a monthly salary? 4 MR. EMMONS: No, sir. I--5 THE COURT: My question was what have you asked 6 for that you've been denied? 7. MK. EMMONS: Well, I hadn't asked for it. I g hadn't asked for it because I thought the court didn't have 9 the suthority. The court might and I might do that. 30 Do it. THE COURT: Okay. 11 Sir? MR. EMMONS: 12 THE COURT: Do it. 17 MR. EMMONS: Okay, sir. 14 THE COURT: Denied. 15 MR. EMMONS: Yes, sir. 16 Bascially, what I'm saying is that the typical 17 psychiatric examination is not what we're asking for. We 18 don't have the funds to hire it. The only think I can 19 compare it to, Your Honor, this--I know it's an unusual 20 motion. 21 But I've got a case right now in another court 22 where a defendant who has not got a lot of money but has 23 enough to pay a lawyer and to pay for psychiatric 24 examination. And he is right now under the care of a 25

speciality type psychiatrist, fine East Memphis office, who can look into his own particular needs and help him and assist him in preparation for trial.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

B

9

10

11

12

33

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

72

23

24

25

There are so many things that occur in psychiatry now and in criminal cases. For instance, Mr. Marty has reminded me of the numerous cases--not numerous cases, been two or three cases tried, and I think Your Honor tried one on a Gary Cone, I believe was the name of the defendant where the Vietnem syndrome was raised as the defense--

> THE COURT: No, I think that was Judge Beasley. MR. EMMONS: Judge Beasley. All right.

And I believe at that time they were able to get psychiatrists from Veterans Administration Hospitals who had experience in that particular area of psychiatry. And we're asking for a similar thing.

To my knowledge, there hasn't been a classic or a true battered-wife syndrome case tried in Shefby County. There might have been some somewhat like that. There have been only a few of them tried in the United States. We believe and honestly state to the court that we believe this case has a meritorious defense in the battered-wife syndrome.

That's why I say in my motion for continuance and refer back to this motion for mental exam that there are numerous things we're going to have to do to get ready for this case that are out of the ordinary if we're to be

Page 152

- ".

effective as attorneys and counsel for the defendant.

This is just a place to start, Your Honor. I believe that to say if she needs psychiatric examination or mental examination let the jail doctor look at her and maybe send her to Central State would be tantamount to saying that a person with an advanced neuropsychotic condition should be seen by a general practitioner.

That's not to cast dispersions on the state doctors at all. But it's just saying that we're talking here about sophisticated psychiatric situations and conditions that an indigent defendant simply has no access to, and to me, that just boggles the mind as far as fundamental due process is concerned.

And that I've asked for psychiatrists to testify that basically what I've stated here I think would make it a much stronger motion, but I can't privately hire psychiatrists to do that. I'd like to ask this motion be reset untill the 18th of October also--

THE COURT: No, sir.

MR. EMMONS: --and give me--

THE COURT: No. We're not going to reset motions

22 now. That one motion.

ī

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. EMMONS: Yes, sir. But I can't--THE COURT: You've had--you've been knowing about this for months now. You-all be ready. If you're not

ready, you're not ready.

. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

:0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. EMMONS: But I'm saying I can't present this motion, Your Honor, without an expert who I can't hire. How. can I properly present this motion?

It's just like the death-qualified-jury motion. How can I present that motion--how can I present proof to that motion without sociologists? . Can't do it. And yet thisis an indigent defendant and if the court will not give me . money to hire sociologists, I can't present the death-qualified-jury argument.

And if the court won't give me money for psychistrists, I can't bring any experts up here to give assistance to the court to show what I mean by this defense and how there are various fields of expertise within psychiatry, and the battered-wife syndrome is a . sub-speciality all of its own. And for the court to understand this and the jury to understand, it will require expert assistance that we can't provide for.

> State. THE COURT:

MR. STROTHER: Your Honor, I don't know exactly where we ever draw the line. You know, you talk about indigent defendants and equal representation. Well, how equal does it have to be?

Perhaps, if any defendant had enough money to go 24 out as the governor of Louisianna does and hire Mr. Neal and 25

bring in a courtroom full of experts and go the world over, well, I don't think anything requires that. I think-Or that in the indigent defendant field that we are required to bring in that kind of proof.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

-

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think if there was some factual basis in this record for the necessity of this type of examination that perhaps Mr. Emmons might have--might have a valid point, but there is not one scintilla of evidence before this court to. show or to indicate the need for this type of examination. And in the absence of any evidence that such examination is needed, I don't think the court has any choice but to deny the motion at this time.

I don't know that the court has the money to pay one I know I don't. And I don't know where the court would get the funds if it chose to so order without going to the Supreme Court and asking for an allocation for those funds. And I certainly don't think the Supreme Court is going to do that on the record that we have here, and that's the record that the court is called upon to act on.

It's totally silent. There is no proof. No witness has been brought in here to say, Oh, I knew Mrs. Owens and, yeah, this guy used to batter her, or she told me about it and I saw her injuries. There's no proof before the court, so there is no basis whatsoever for this motion.

THE COURT: Mr. Owens--Mr. Emmons.

MR. MARTY: One moment, Your Honor.

MR. EMMONS: Could we have just a moment to confer, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

(Pause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Ś

9

10

13

11

15

16

19

20

21

: 24

25

MR. MARTY: Judge, could counsel and I approach the bench on the record? I want to make a statement to the court on the record out of the earshot of the rest of the courtroom, with the attorney general too.

THE COURT: What about your client?

MR. MARTY. She knows what I'm going to tell the court.

THE COURT: State, any objection?

MR. STROTHER: No, I--I guess it's simply a matter where he wants to approach the bench. I don't have any objection to approaching the bench.

THE COURT: All right. You may approach the bench.

MR. STROTHER: I want to hasten to add that in these motions, just as anything else for the record, counsel's statements are not evidence.

THE COURT: Well, the court don't have to be reminded as to that.

. (Whereupon, a bench conference was

held on the record and the following proceedings were had:)

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

A

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Judge, we just simply want to state MR. MARTY: that during the course of our investigation with Mrs. Owens, we have determined and learned from her that the deceased engaged in certain sexual perversions, to wit, sodomy, fellatio, to the point where she was required to throw up, to the point where she was--her rectum was torn, and that. her--nis--

TRE	COURT:	You got medical proof?
MR.	MARTY :	We have her proof, Your Honor.
THE	COURT:	Do you have medical proof?
	UUUT T.	No.
THE	COURT:	Did she seek medical services?

No, she did not. MR. MARTY:

Judge, we don't mind putting her on, MR. EMMONS: but we want a ruling from the court that any cross-examination by the State would be related only to the matters that are inquired into by -- in support of the motion. Maybe that's what we want to--clear.

I'm saying the point is -- I've got another theory, Judge, and I hate to sensationalize this trial before it becomes necessary because once--this is all going to come out 23 like a little Peyton place, and horrible things and terrible 24 25

allegations that obviously are going to be made at trial, and we feel like by then that we can have more proof available.

• 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

B

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

· 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A lot of this, Judge, are things that we just learned about within the last week. You know if the paper--It's some very rather horrible allegations and some other things that went--

THE COURT: Does that go to insanity? . .

MR. EMMONS: It goes, Your Honor, to the intent

THE COURT: Well, that might go to intent, might go to state of mind, and all that, but is she competent to stand trial? Does she understand the nature of the charges pending against her, and can she effectively communicate with her counsel and defend herself--in her defense.

Now that is what the court is called upon to rule

MR. EMMONS: Well, I don't see any great problem with competency. I don't see any problem with that, even though out of an abundance of caution we raise that issue too. But I think the issue is not competence to stand trial but rather state of mind at the time these offenses occurred and would have to do with insanity at the time of the commission of the crime, though it's not purely an insanity defense. It relates to state of mind. It relates to---THE COURT: Well state of mind--

(Simultaneously) That's a MR. STROTHER: r_1 factual-ż THE COURT: If you're talking about competency to 3 stand trial or insahity at the time of the -- If you're telling 4 me that insenity at the time, I'll order an examination right 5 now. 6 MR. EMMONS: Well, I'm telling you, Judge, that 7 under this strange type of situation -- ' 8 THE COURT: Well, that's all proof--that's all a 9 matter of proof though. 10 MR. EMMONS: But that's what we need a 11 psychiatrist for, Judge. I mean to testify--12 (Simultaneously) Won't be able to MR. STROTHER: 13 give you proof. 14 -- to testify-- In other words, if we MR: EMMONS: 15 have truly a battered-wife-syndrome case here, then how can 16 we tell whether that rises to the degree of insanity required 17 by the law to present an insanity defense without medical 18 proof? 19 MR. STROTHER: Well, let's find out first that 20 you've got that and hear some evidence that you have that. 21 MR. EMMONS: I cannot object to the--maybe the 22 first step being the routine procedure--23 THE COURT: There's got to be some basis on which 24 I order an examination. 25

MR. MARTY: Judge, we're prepared to put her on--MR. EMMONS: Judge, we come in court all the time on people and say, Your Honor, I've talked to him and I think there is an insanity defense or something--

1

2

3

4

5

5

7

3

-4

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

THE COURT: Well, do you think there's an insanity defense?

MR. EMMONS: It's hard for me to know; Judge, bucause this is--I've never encountered this before. I don't know if a battered-wife-syndrome can cause a person to be under the--to have a mental disease or defect to the extent that you cannot appreciate the wrongfulness--

THE COURT: Isn't that a question for you to school yourself on as counsel?

MR. EMMONS: I don't think it's for me. I think a psychiatrist is going to have to make that determination.

In other words, I can tell you there's enough evidence--

THE COURT: I said to school yourself on. The only thing I know is to either get into the books or talk to psychiatrists, one or the other.

MR. EMMONS: Well, I think I can say, based on what I know already, that I believe there is enough evidence of insanity that we ought to ask for the court to have her examined.

THE COURT: Send to Bolivar State right now--I

mean Central State and have her examined. If you're telling me that she is--that you have a competency question

MR. STROTHER: Have Lo------

1

2

3

4

5

б

7 .

0

Э

10

11

17

13

14

25

COURT REPORTER: I cannot hear Mr. Strother. THE COURT: Well, we'll have her sent for an examination and see whether or not she is going to be competent to stand trial and then whether or not there's any evidence to substantiate an insanity at the time of the offense, and that can be done right here. Just like any other defendent.

MR. MARTY: That's fine.

THE COURT: Just like any other defendant. MR. MARTY: That's fine, Your Honor.

MR. EMMONS: Well, then our motion still--

THE COURT: And if it comes back that the report is that she is competent to stand trial and understands and can communicate--the nature of the charges and gravity of the offense and can communicate with her attorneys and assist and aid in the defense and there is no evidence to support a Graham ruling case, whether it's her or anyone else, the court is bound by that, isn't it?

MR. EMMONS: I think so. That's what basically I was trying to say, that our motion is asking one step beyond that. That even if--I don't--

THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to--I'm not going

to start spending taxpayers' money when there is no basis in fact to spend it.

1

2

3

4

5

5

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EMMONS: I understand that. But this might give us the basis in fact to ask for it later. I mean if there truly is this syndrome present and if it truly relates to the Graham definition of insanity--

THE COURT: If you're telling me that she is--she needs to be examined for competency?

MR. EMMONS: Not as to competency, but I think they'll want to make that determination too. But as to whether or not she was under the Graham definition mentally culpable, the traditional insanity defense.

MR. CHALLEN: We can do that in one week. You can have somebody down here, don't have to send her away. The preliminary examination--

THE COURT: It would be right here.

MR. EMMONS: Well, then if they--After the preliminary examnation, if they feel like there is cause to further evaluate her, then it would--

THE COURT: That's another matter.

MR. EMMONS: Then at that time, though, if there is evidence developed that that state doctor could testify to about this syndrome that he is not competent to evaluate, then we could--

THE COURT: I know but you're just saying--you

tell me front blush that he's not competent. You don't know whether he is or not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. EMMONS: That's probably right, Judge. That's probably--You're probably correct. I'm just assuming from what I--

MR. STROTHER: He can also probably tell you whether he thinks there is any basis for any further examination.

THE COURT: If that's it, Wayne, number one, you've got to have some sort of reason for me to examine--to order an examination. And number two, based upon that examination, we'll go from there. But I'm not going to order this to be done on the flat statement that nobody is qualified--state is not qualified to do this.

MR. EMMONS: Our dilemma is, Judge, that we don't really think that she's incompetent right now. We can confer with her and she talks. But we don't know, based on this battered-wife-syndrome, how that related to her culpability insanity wise, if that rises to a true insanity defense. I really in all honesty and talking it out here at the bench can't say I would object to the court ordering her examined by the state doctors; though I would want to reserve her right maybe to come--

Q At this point, that's the only thing I can do, Wayne.

MR. MARTY: Well, that's a step anyway because we 1 do feel, based on the information we've been told --2 MR. EMMONS: Within the last five or six days. 3 MR. MARTY: -- that is a distinct possibility. 4 THE COURT: If she is incompetent to stand trial 5 or was insane at the time of the commission of the 6 offense-alleged commission of the offense, that has to be 7 decermined by way of an examination. You just can't flat 8 MR. EMMONS: Neither one of us suspected this in 9 our first several visits with her. It's only been within the 10 last week that we found out about all of this perversion and 11 all this other stuff. We got it from her plus--12 MR. STROTHER: We knew about it two months ago. 77 MR. EMMONS: Knew about what two months ago? 14 MR. STROTHER: That she was going to try this gig. 75 COURT REPORTER: I cannot hear Mr. Strother. 16 MR. STROTHER: Oh, her sister told us this is what 17 she was going to try. 18 MR. EMMONS: Well, I wish they had told us that 19 along with where lieutenant-what's-his-name was. 20 Right at this time I'm going to deny THE COURT: 21 your motion. I'll give you -- On this, I'm going to deny this 22 motion, but I'll enter an order for a mental examination to 23 determine the two things, competency and the mental state at 24 the time of the offense. 25

1.000