#### The Tennessee Supreme Court Alternative Dispute Resolution Commission Presents

# The 2015 Southern Dispute Resolution Program Conference

Lipscomb University Institute for Conflict Management Nashville, Tennessee

## AGENDA

## <u>Thursday, November 19, 2015</u> -All sessions today in Ezell Center, Room 363-

- 8:00 8:30 **Registration and Continental Breakfast** *Room 363*
- 8:30 8:45 Welcome & Introductions Hon. Sharon G. Lee, Chief Justice, Tennessee Supreme Court, Tennessee Supreme Court Liaison to the ADR Commission Howard H. Vogel, Esq., Tennessee ADR Commission Chair
- 8:45 9:45 **The Future of ADR Training Virginia** Distance learning is being incorporated into the curricula of many institutions of higher learning. Mediation continuing education is available online. Discussions about the use of online training for mediators are ongoing.

### 9:45 - 10:00 BREAK

10:00 - 11:00 **Mediation Ethics & Discipline - Florida** Part of the responsibility of most state ADR commissions is to provide a disciplinary system for mediators. Some state commissions issue advisory ethics opinions. The functions and ways for administration of these responsibilities vary, and are occasionally modified.

### 11:00 - 11:15 **BREAK**

#### 11:15 - 12:15 **Evolution of Mediation Rules & Policy - Tennessee**

The early adoption of rules for state mediation programs followed several early models, modified to fit the circumstances of individual states. Over the years, the states have modified those rules and adopted policies to assist in the administration of a mediation program and guidance for the practicing mediator. Various issues, such as confidentiality, privilege, admissibility, reporting, mediator assistance in the preparation of settlement memoranda, etc., have been addressed in differing ways.

### 12:15 - 1:00 LUNCH -

Small informal group conversations on issues discussed during the morning sessions

| 1:00 - 2:00   | <b>Continuing Mediation Education - Georgia</b><br>Most state mediation programs include a CME requirement, but those differ in varying<br>ways, including the number of required hours. Modern technology presents new ways<br>to deliver CME.  |
|---------------|--|
| 2:00 - 2:15   | BREAK  |
| 2:15 - 3:15   | <b>Evaluation of ADR Programs – North Carolina</b><br>Most institutions feel the need to self-evaluate their performance levels. There are<br>varying ways to accomplish this and varying audiences for response. What are the<br>best forms of data and data acquisition methods for such evaluations?  |
| 3:15 - 4:15   | <b>Pro Se &amp; Pro Bono - Alabama</b><br>These two topics overlap, in that the pro se disputant might be participating in a<br>mediation that is being conducted by the mediator on a pro bono basis. There is an<br>increasing need for more pro bono mediations. Some states have included pro bono<br>availability requirements and CME and CLE incentives in their rules and policies for<br>listed or certified mediators. Pro se disputants often pose special challenges for the<br>mediator, whether it is a pro bono mediation or not. |
| 4:15          | ADJOURN FOR THE DAY  |
|               | <u>Friday, November 20, 2015</u><br>-All sessions today in Ezell Center, Room 363-   |
| 8:30 - 9:00   | <b>Registration and Continental Breakfast</b><br><i>Room 363</i>   |
| 9:00 - 10:00  | <b>New Frontiers in ADR - Maryland</b><br>What lies ahead? With guidance from their respective state supreme courts, some<br>state ADR programs may wish to expand their scope and jurisdiction to address<br>criminal case mediation, ombuds services, elder law, mediation in special business<br>courts, more mediator regulation or less, reporting requirements, greater use of<br>technology, etc.   |
| 10:00 - 10:15 | BREAK  |
| 10:15 - 11:15 | <b>Baby Boomers and Vanishing Trial Effects – South Carolina</b><br>We are getting older. For many reasons, the civil justice system continues to evolve.<br>Fewer cases proceed to trial and fewer cases are filed. The younger generation of<br>lawyers approach practice in differing ways from their senior partners. In part, this<br>relates to the advantages of technology. How do these circumstances affect ADR<br>programs and how will they affect the future?   |
| 11:15 - 12:30 | LUNCH & Final Comments Discussion  |
| 12:30         | ADJOURN FOR THE CONFERENCE   |