IN THE COURT OF (IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS (
JAN	JANUARY 1999 SESSION		March 10, 1999	
STATE OF TENNESSEE)	C.C.A. NO. (Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk 03C01-9805-CC-00170	
Appellee,)))))	BLOUNT CO	OUNT COUNTY n. D. Kelly Thomas, Judge	
V.				
BRIAN THOMAS KLUEVER,		(Denial of Full Probation)		
Appellant.				
FOR THE APPELLANT:		FOR THE AF	PPELLEE:	
JULIE MARTIN (On Appeal) Attorney at Law P. O. Box 426 Knoxville, TN 37901-0426 MACK GARNER (At Trial) District Public Defender 419 High St. Maryville, TN 37804		JOHN KNO Attorney Ge	WALKUP eral & Reporter	
		GEORGIA BLYTHE FELNER Counsel for the State		
	425 Fifth Av		Bldg., Second Floor	
		MICHAEL L. District Attorn		
		EDWARD BA Assistant Dis 363 Court St. Maryville, TN	trict Attorney General	
AFFIRMED PURSUANT TO RU	JLE 20			
OPINION FILED:		_		
JAMES CURWOOD WITT, JR.,	,			

JUDGE

OPINION

The defendant, Brian Thomas Kluever, appeals pursuant to Rule 3 of the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure from the trial court's denial of full probation. The defendant pleaded guilty to felony evading arrest, to driving on a suspended license (second offense) and driving while under the influence (first offense). As part of the plea agreement, the defendant agreed to an effective sentence of one year, but the manner of service was left to the discretion of the trial judge. After a sentencing hearing, the trial court ordered the defendant to serve 120 days in jail with the balance of the sentence to be served on probation. The defendant will be eligible for work release after serving 48 hours.

One issue is presented for review. The defendant contends that after a 48-hour period of incarceration and an alcohol treatment program, he should be allowed to serve the balance of the 120 days on the weekend. This court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated section 40-35-401(d). We conclude that the record supports the trial court's findings.

The trial court denied full probation after the service of the minimum sentence because the defendant had an extensive criminal record, because he had previously received probated sentences, and because he had been convicted of two offenses while he was on bond for these charges. The trial judge concluded that the defendant's prospects for rehabilitation were very slim due to his abuse of alcohol and drugs.

This court has read the briefs of the parties and the law governing the issue presented for review and concludes that no error of law requiring

reversal of the judgment is apparent on	the record and that an opinion in this			
case would have no precedential value	. Therefore, we affirm the judgment of			
the trial court pursuant to Rule 20 of the Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals				
	JAMES CURWOOD WITT JR., Judge			
CONCUR:	o, wile color color transfer and			
CONCOR.				
GARY R. WADE, Presiding Judge				
GAICT IC. WADE, Flesiding Judge				

NORMA McGEE OGLE, Judge