## SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE STATE LIST FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL June 5, 2000

STYLE/APPEAL NUMBER	COUNTY	TRIAL JUDGE	TRIAL COURT NO.	APPELLATE JUDGE/JUDGMENT	NATURE OF APPEAL	ACTION
Bi-Lo, Inc. vs. Jean Adams M2000-00917-WC-R9-CV	Williamson Circuit	Russ Heldman	II-97280		Rule 9	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Bi-Lo, Inc.
Analyn Rojo Cantrell vs. Matter of: Estate of Jerry A. Cantrell M1998-00536-SC-R11-CV	Williamson Chancery	Russ Heldman	II-P-98-2015	Cantrell, P.J. Affirmed and remanded	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Analyn Rojo Cantrell
Margaret White, et al vs. Vanderbilt University, et al M1997-00105-SC-R11-CV	Davidson Circuit	Thomas W. Brothers	92C-2740	Koch, J. Reversed in part and remanded	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Vanderbilt University
Harold Davis vs. Dept. of Employment Security M1996-00021-SC-R11-CV	Davidson Chancery	Robert S. Brandt	96-515-III	Koch, J. Affirmed and remanded	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Harold Davis
Margie Herald, et al vs. Ruth E. Johnson, Commissioner M1999-00585-SC-R11-CV	Pickett Chancery	Vernon Neal	1887	Cantrell, P.J. Affirmed and remanded	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Margie Herald, et al
State of Tennessee vs. Frank Crittenden M1998-00485-SC-R11-CD	Davidson Criminal	J. Randall Wyatt, Jr.	94-B-1014	Riley, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Frank Crittenden

State of Tennessee vs. Bryan L. Murray M1998-00343-SC-R11-CD	Robertson Circuit	Robert W. Wedemeyer	97-0382	Peay, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Bryan L. Murray
Terry Charlton vs. State of Tennessee M1998-00087-SC-R11-PC	Williamson Circuit	Donald P. Harris	398-124	Witt, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Terry Charlton
Bobby Kenneth Nash, Jr. vs. State of Tennessee M1998-00406-SC-R11-PC	Davidson Criminal	Seth W. Norman	93D-1454A	Witt, J. Denied	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Bobby Kenneth Nash, Jr.
Medical Education Assistance Corp. vs. State of Tennessee, through the East TN State University Quillen College of Medicine vs. Ashok V. Mehta, M.D. E1999-01005-SC-R11-CV	Washington Chancery		31625	Swiney, J.	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Ashok V. Mehta, M.D. <sup>1</sup> The court recommends that the opinion of the Court of Appeals be published; case is remanded to the trial court (see footnote).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Upon consideration of the application for permission to appeal and the record before us, the application is denied with the recommendation that the opinion of the Court of Appeals be published. Although we deny the application for permission to appeal, the Court orders that the case be remanded to the trial court for that court's consideration of Dr. Mehta's motion "for an Order clarifying enforcement" of the judgment and any related motion filed by the other parties.