BOARD OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT QUARTERLY REPORT

(For the period 1/1/2023 through 3/31/2023) Due 4/20/2023

The number of complaints OPENED¹ this quarter is 99. Year to date the number is 99.

The number of complaints CLOSED this quarter is 89. Year to date the number is 89.

The DISPOSITION of the complaints closed this quarter is:

	YTD (Year to Date)
Dismissed, complaint about court ruling 27	27
Dismissed, no jurisdiction 8	8
Dismissed, inadequate factual basis 36	36
Dismissal with warning 0	0
Dismissal after full investigation 0	0
Deferred discipline agreement 0	0
Private reprimand 1	1
Public reprimand 1	1
Other 16	16
Retired complaint, no longer a judge0	0
Cease and Desist Order 0	0
Suspension 0	0
Recommendation of removal from office 0	0
TOTAL 89	89

The number of complaints PENDING on the last day of this quarter is 38.

The number of complaints for which PROBABLE CAUSE WAS FOUND² this quarter is 3. The number year to date is 3.

The number of complaints for which FORMAL CHARGES WERE FILED this quarter is 0. The number year to date is 0.

^{1.} A single complaint naming several judges is counted as a separate complaint against each judge. A complaint whose allegations encompass more than one category is listed under the most serious category alleged. This Board came into existence on July 1, 2019. Therefore, there is no five-year statistical data to compare.

^{2.&}quot;Probable cause was found" means that Disciplinary Counsel and the investigative panel believe that there is evidence supporting the allegations against a judge and the investigative panel has authorized a full investigation pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 17-5-303 (c)(2).

The NATURE of the complaints filed and the TYPE OF JUDGE according to the following categories is:

A) Failure to comply with t	he la	nw: <u>YTD</u>
Criminal	17	17
Circuit	19	19
Chancery	3	3
General Sessions	14	14
Juvenile	4	4
Magistrate and Referee	5	5
Municipal	2	2
Commissioner	2	2
Probate	1	1
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	2	2
Other	1_	1 (Non-judge, deceased judge, judge from another
TOTAL	70	70 state, etc.)

B) Bias, prejudice and unfair	rness:	YTD
Criminal 2	2	2
Circuit	1	1
Chancery 2	2	2
General Sessions (O	0
Juvenile	1	1
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal (O	0
Commissioner (O	0
Probate 3	3	3
Appellate Court (O	0
Senior and Retired (O	0
Other(<u> </u>	0
TOTAL	9	9

C) Discourtsey.		VTD
C) Discourtesy: Criminal	4	4
Circuit	3	3
Chancery		0
General Sessions		2
Juvenile	0	0
	0	0
Magistrate and Referee Municipal	1	1
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
	0	•
Appellate Court Senior and Retired	0	0
		0
Other TOTAL	_ <u>0</u> 	$\frac{0}{10}$
IOIAL	10	10
D) Abuse of office:		YTD
Criminal	0	$\frac{11D}{0}$
Circuit	0	0
Chancery	0	0
General Sessions	0	0
Juvenile	0	0
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal	0	0
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	0	0
Other		0
TOTAL	0	$\frac{0}{0}$
TOTAL	O	U
E) Delay:		YTD
Criminal	0	0
Circuit	2	2
Chancery	0	0
General Sessions	2	2
Juvenile	0	0
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal	0	0
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	0	0
Other	0	0
TOTAL	4	4

F) Ex parte communications: Criminal	0 1 1 0 0 0 0
Commissioner (-
Appellate Court	,
Senior and Retired (· ·
Other (
TOTAL 1	1
G) Disability:	<u>YTD</u>
Criminal (, v
Circuit (•
Chancery	
General Sessions (
Juvenile (,
Magistrate and Referee (·
Municipal (Commissioner (· ·
Probate (•
Appellate Court (•
Senior and Retired	
Other (_
TOTAL	<u> </u>
	•
H) Political violation:	$\underline{\text{YTD}}$
Criminal (0
Circuit (,
Chancery (•
General Sessions	•
Juvenile (•
Magistrate and Referee 0	
Municipal (,
Commissioner (•
Appellate Court (•
Senior and Retired (•
Other (- -
TOTAL (
101111	,

I) Recusal:		<u>YTD</u>
Criminal	1	1
Circuit	0	0
Chancery	2	2
General Sessions	1	1
Juvenile	1	1
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal	0	0
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	0	0
Other	0	0
TOTAL	5	5

J) Miscellaneous:		YTD
Criminal	0	0
Circuit	0	0
Chancery	0	0
General Sessions	0	0
Juvenile	0	0
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal	0	0
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	0	0
Other	0	0
TOTAL	0	0

Member Voting

	D	FI	D(YTD)	FI(YTD)
Hon. Jeffrey Atherton	14	0	14	0
Hon. H. Allen Bray	13	0	13	0
Hon. Andrew Brigham	19	0	19	0
Hon. Valerie Smith	13	0	13	0
Edwena Crowe	12	0	12	0
Rodney Brown	6	0	6	0
William Koch Jr	16	3	16	3
Hon. Camille McMullen	11	0	11	0
Bishop Edward Stephens	7	0	7	0
Benjamin Purser Jr	16	3	16	3
vacant				
Dan Springer	16	3	16	3
Terica Smith	11	0	11	0
Hon. John Whitworth	11	0	11	0
Robert Wilkinson	14	0	14	0

D= Dismissals

FI= Full Investigations

Respectfully submitted,

Dee David Gay, Board Chair BOARD OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

BOARD OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT QUARTERLY REPORT

(For the period 1/1/2023 through 3/31/2023) Due 4/20/2023

The number of complaints OPENED¹ this quarter is 99. Year to date the number is 99.

The number of complaints CLOSED this quarter is 89. Year to date the number is 89.

The DISPOSITION of the complaints closed this quarter is:

	YTD (Year to Date)
Dismissed, complaint about court ruling 27	27
Dismissed, no jurisdiction 8	8
Dismissed, inadequate factual basis 36	36
Dismissal with warning 0	0
Dismissal after full investigation 0	0
Deferred discipline agreement 0	0
Private reprimand 1	1
Public reprimand 1	1
Other 16	16
Retired complaint, no longer a judge0	0
Cease and Desist Order 0	0
Suspension 0	0
Recommendation of removal from office 0	0
TOTAL 89	89

The number of complaints PENDING on the last day of this quarter is 38.

The number of complaints for which PROBABLE CAUSE WAS FOUND² this quarter is 3. The number year to date is 3.

The number of complaints for which FORMAL CHARGES WERE FILED this quarter is 0. The number year to date is 0.

^{1.} A single complaint naming several judges is counted as a separate complaint against each judge. A complaint whose allegations encompass more than one category is listed under the most serious category alleged. This Board came into existence on July 1, 2019. Therefore, there is no five-year statistical data to compare.

^{2.&}quot;Probable cause was found" means that Disciplinary Counsel and the investigative panel believe that there is evidence supporting the allegations against a judge and the investigative panel has authorized a full investigation pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 17-5-303 (c)(2).

The NATURE of the complaints filed and the TYPE OF JUDGE according to the following categories is:

A) Failure to comply with t	he la	nw: <u>YTD</u>
Criminal	17	17
Circuit	19	19
Chancery	3	3
General Sessions	14	14
Juvenile	4	4
Magistrate and Referee	5	5
Municipal	2	2
Commissioner	2	2
Probate	1	1
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	2	2
Other	1_	1 (Non-judge, deceased judge, judge from another
TOTAL	70	70 state, etc.)

B) Bias, prejudice and unfair	rness:	YTD
Criminal 2	2	2
Circuit	1	1
Chancery 2	2	2
General Sessions (O	0
Juvenile	1	1
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal (O	0
Commissioner (O	0
Probate 3	3	3
Appellate Court (O	0
Senior and Retired (O	0
Other(<u> </u>	0
TOTAL	9	9

C) Discourtsey.		VTD
C) Discourtesy: Criminal	4	4
Circuit	3	3
Chancery		0
General Sessions		2
Juvenile	0	0
	0	0
Magistrate and Referee Municipal	1	1
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
	0	•
Appellate Court Senior and Retired	0	0
		0
Other TOTAL	_ <u>0</u> 	$\frac{0}{10}$
IOIAL	10	10
D) Abuse of office:		YTD
Criminal	0	$\frac{11D}{0}$
Circuit	0	0
Chancery	0	0
General Sessions	0	0
Juvenile	0	0
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal	0	0
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	0	0
Other		0
TOTAL	0	$\frac{0}{0}$
TOTAL	O	U
E) Delay:		YTD
Criminal	0	0
Circuit	2	2
Chancery	0	0
General Sessions	2	2
Juvenile	0	0
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal	0	0
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	0	0
Other	0	0
TOTAL	4	4

Circuit Chancery General Sessions Juvenile Magistrate and Referee Municipal	: YTD 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	$egin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
	$\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$
	0 0
Other	00
TOTAL	1 1
G) Disability:	YTD
	$0 \qquad \frac{11D}{0}$
	0 0
Chancery	0 0
General Sessions	0 0
Juvenile	0 0
Magistrate and Referee	0 0
Municipal	0 0
	0 0
= = = =	0 0
11	0
	0 0
	$\frac{0}{2}$
TOTAL	0 0
H) Political violation:	VTD
	$0 \qquad \frac{11D}{0}$
	0 0
	0 0
	0 0
	0 0
Magistrate and Referee	0 0
	0 0
Commissioner	0 0
	0 0
11	0 0
Senior and Retired	0 0
	<u>0</u> 0
TOTAL	0 0

I) Recusal:		<u>YTD</u>
Criminal	1	1
Circuit	0	0
Chancery	2	2
General Sessions	1	1
Juvenile	1	1
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal	0	0
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	0	0
Other	0	0
TOTAL	5	5

J) Miscellaneous:		YTD
Criminal	0	0
Circuit	0	0
Chancery	0	0
General Sessions	0	0
Juvenile	0	0
Magistrate and Referee	0	0
Municipal	0	0
Commissioner	0	0
Probate	0	0
Appellate Court	0	0
Senior and Retired	0	0
Other	0	0
TOTAL	0	0

Member Voting

	D	FI	D(YTD)	FI(YTD)
Hon. Jeffrey Atherton	14	0	14	0
Hon. H. Allen Bray	13	0	13	0
Hon. Andrew Brigham	19	0	19	0
Hon. Valerie Smith	13	0	13	0
Edwena Crowe	12	0	12	0
Rodney Brown	6	0	6	0
William Koch Jr	16	3	16	3
Hon. Camille McMullen	11	0	11	0
Bishop Edward Stephens	7	0	7	0
Benjamin Purser Jr	16	3	16	3
vacant				
Dan Springer	16	3	16	3
Terica Smith	11	0	11	0
Hon. John Whitworth	11	0	11	0
Robert Wilkinson	14	0	14	0

D= Dismissals

FI= Full Investigations

Respectfully submitted,

Dee David Gay, Board Chair BOARD OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT